
The Influence of Business Cycles on National Health Outcomes: An Examination of Procyclical and Countercyclical Health Dynamics

Sanjay Adhikari¹ and Rabin Bista²

¹Mid-Western University, Birendranagar Road, Surkhet, Nepal

²Far Western University, Mahendranagar-Chandani Road, Kanchanpur, Nepal

Abstract

Business cycles have long been recognized as fundamental drivers of economic fluctuations, but their relationship with population health outcomes remains a complex and multifaceted phenomenon that warrants systematic investigation. The traditional assumption that economic prosperity uniformly translates to improved health outcomes has been challenged by emerging evidence suggesting both procyclical and countercyclical health dynamics across different demographic groups and health indicators. This research examines the intricate relationship between macroeconomic fluctuations and national health outcomes through comprehensive analysis of mortality rates, morbidity patterns, healthcare utilization, and health behavior modifications during economic expansions and contractions. Using advanced econometric modeling techniques and longitudinal data spanning multiple business cycles, this study reveals that while certain health indicators improve during economic upturns, others paradoxically deteriorate, creating a nuanced pattern of health responses to economic conditions. The findings demonstrate that unemployment rates, income inequality, healthcare accessibility, and social safety net robustness serve as critical mediating factors in determining whether health outcomes follow procyclical or countercyclical patterns. Furthermore, the research establishes that vulnerable populations, including low-income individuals, elderly citizens, and those with chronic conditions, experience disproportionate health impacts during economic downturns, while certain lifestyle-related health outcomes may actually improve during recessions due to reduced consumption of harmful goods and increased time availability for health-promoting activities.

1 Introduction

The relationship between economic conditions and population health represents one of the most enduring questions in public health research, with implications that extend far beyond academic inquiry into the realm of policy formulation and social welfare planning [1]. Understanding how business cycles influence health outcomes has become increasingly critical as governments worldwide grapple with the dual challenges of maintaining economic stability while ensuring adequate health protection for their populations. The complexity of this relationship stems from the multitude of pathways through which economic fluctuations can affect health, ranging from direct effects on healthcare access and affordability to indirect influences on stress levels, behavioral patterns, and social cohesion.

Historical examination of major economic disruptions reveals seemingly contradictory patterns in health outcomes that challenge simplistic assumptions about the health-wealth relationship [2]. During the Great Depression of the 1930s, despite widespread economic hardship, certain health indicators actually improved, including reductions in cardiovascular mortality and increases in life expectancy. Conversely, some economic booms have been associated with increased mortality rates, particularly from accidents, lifestyle-related diseases, and behavioral health issues. These observations suggest that the relationship between economic cycles and health is neither linear nor uniform across all health domains. [3]

The mechanisms through which business cycles influence health outcomes operate through multiple channels that can have opposing effects simultaneously. Economic downturns typically reduce household incomes, limit healthcare access, increase psychological stress, and strain social support systems, all of which would be expected to deteriorate health outcomes. However, recessions may also reduce exposure to occupational hazards, decrease consumption of harmful substances like alcohol and tobacco due to budget constraints, provide more time for health-promoting activities like exercise and sleep, and reduce traffic-related accidents due to decreased economic activity. [4]

Contemporary research has identified several key factors that mediate the relationship between economic cycles and health outcomes. Labor market conditions, particularly unemployment rates and job security, serve as primary transmission mechanisms through which macroeconomic conditions affect individual and family health. Healthcare system characteristics, including the extent of universal coverage, safety net programs, and preventive care infrastructure, significantly influence how economic shocks translate into health impacts [5]. Social determinants of health, such as housing stability, food security, and educational access, also play crucial roles in buffering or amplifying the health effects of economic fluctuations.

The heterogeneity of health responses to business cycles across different population subgroups represents another critical dimension of this relationship. Age-related vulnerabilities mean that children and elderly populations may experience different health impacts from economic fluctuations compared to working-age adults [6]. Socioeconomic stratification creates differential exposure to economic shocks, with low-income populations typically bearing disproportionate health burdens during recessions while potentially receiving fewer benefits during expansions. Geographic variations in economic structure, healthcare infrastructure, and social capital also contribute to spatial heterogeneity in health responses to business cycles.

Recent developments in econometric methodology have enabled more sophisticated analysis of the business cycle-health relationship, allowing researchers to disentangle various causal pathways and identify specific mechanisms driving observed patterns [7]. Time-series analysis, panel data methods, and natural experiment designs have provided new insights into the temporal dynamics of health responses to economic shocks. Advanced statistical techniques have also enabled better control for confounding factors and more precise estimation of effect sizes across different health outcomes and population groups.

2 Conceptual Models

The theoretical foundation for understanding business cycle effects on health outcomes draws from multiple disciplinary perspectives, integrating insights from health economics, epidemiology, psychology, and sociology [8]. The fundamental tension in this relationship arises from competing theoretical predictions about how economic conditions should affect health, leading to the development of comprehensive frameworks that can accommodate both procyclical and countercyclical health dynamics.

The resource availability hypothesis represents the most intuitive theoretical perspective, suggesting that health outcomes should improve during economic expansions when individuals and governments have greater resources to invest in health-promoting goods and services. This framework predicts that higher incomes during economic booms enable increased healthcare utilization, better nutrition, safer housing conditions, and reduced financial stress, all contributing to improved health outcomes [9]. Conversely, economic contractions should deteriorate health outcomes through reduced healthcare access, nutritional deficiencies, housing instability, and increased psychological stress from financial hardship.

The opportunity cost framework provides an alternative theoretical lens that can explain countercyclical health patterns. During economic downturns, the opportunity cost of time decreases as employment opportunities become scarce and work hours are reduced [10]. This increased time availability may enable individuals to engage in health-promoting activities such as exercise, sleep, preventive care, and stress management that are difficult to pursue during busy economic periods. Additionally, reduced income may force individuals to substitute away from harmful consumption goods like alcohol, tobacco, and processed foods, potentially improving certain health outcomes despite overall economic hardship.

Stress-based theoretical models emphasize the psychological and physiological pathways through which economic uncertainty affects health outcomes [11]. Economic instability increases acute and chronic stress through multiple channels, including job insecurity, financial strain, social status threats, and uncertainty about the future. Chronic stress activation leads to dysregulation of neuroendocrine systems, immune function suppression, cardiovascular strain, and behavioral changes that can significantly impact health outcomes. The stress framework predicts that health outcomes should generally deteriorate during economic downturns due to increased stress exposure, though individual variations in stress resilience and coping mechanisms may moderate these effects. [12]

Social cohesion and support theories highlight the importance of community-level factors in mediating the relationship between economic conditions and health outcomes. Economic downturns can either strengthen social bonds through shared adversity and mutual support or weaken them through increased competition for scarce resources and social fragmentation. Communities with strong social capital and robust support networks may be better able to buffer the negative health effects of economic shocks, while socially fragmented communities may experience amplified health deterioration during recessions. [13]

The healthcare system response model examines how institutional factors influence the translation of economic shocks into health outcomes. Healthcare systems with universal coverage, strong safety nets, and countercyclical fiscal policies may successfully insulate population health from economic fluctuations. Conversely, healthcare

systems that rely heavily on private financing or lack adequate safety net provisions may experience procyclical health patterns as economic downturns reduce healthcare access and utilization. [14]

Behavioral adaptation theories focus on how individuals modify their health-related behaviors in response to changing economic conditions. Economic constraints may force beneficial behavioral changes such as reduced consumption of expensive unhealthy foods, decreased alcohol and tobacco use, and increased physical activity through walking or cycling instead of driving. Alternatively, economic stress may lead to maladaptive behavioral responses including increased substance use, reduced self-care, and engagement in risky behaviors as coping mechanisms. [15]

The life course perspective emphasizes that the health effects of economic cycles may vary significantly depending on the timing of exposure within individual life trajectories. Economic shocks experienced during critical developmental periods such as pregnancy, infancy, or adolescence may have long-lasting health consequences that extend well beyond the immediate economic disruption. Similarly, economic conditions during key life transitions such as entry into the labor market or retirement may have particularly pronounced health impacts. [16]

Cumulative advantage and disadvantage theories suggest that the health effects of business cycles may compound over time, with advantaged populations becoming increasingly healthy during economic expansions while disadvantaged populations experience progressive health deterioration during downturns. This framework predicts increasing health inequalities over business cycles as economic fluctuations amplify existing disparities in health resources and opportunities.

3 Health-Economic Dynamics

The mathematical representation of business cycle effects on health outcomes requires sophisticated modeling approaches that can capture the complex, nonlinear relationships between economic variables and health indicators while accounting for temporal dynamics, heterogeneity, and multiple transmission mechanisms [17]. The following mathematical framework provides a comprehensive approach to modeling these relationships through a system of interconnected equations that represent different aspects of the health-economy nexus [18].

Let $H_{i,t}$ represent the health outcome for individual i at time t , which can be modeled as a function of current and lagged economic conditions, individual characteristics, and stochastic components. The basic health production function can be expressed as:

$$H_{i,t} = \alpha + \sum_{j=0}^J \beta_j E_{t-j} + \sum_{k=0}^K \gamma_k X_{i,t-k} + \sum_{l=0}^L \delta_l Z_{t-l} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

where E_{t-j} represents economic conditions at time $t-j$, $X_{i,t-k}$ denotes individual-specific characteristics and behaviors, Z_{t-l} captures time-varying environmental and institutional factors, and $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ is the error term capturing unobserved heterogeneity and random variation.

The economic conditions vector E_t can be decomposed into cyclical and trend components using the Hodrick-Prescott filter or other detrending methods [19]. Let E_t^c represent the cyclical component and E_t^{tr} the trend component, such that:

$$E_t = E_t^c + E_t^{tr}$$

The cyclical component E_t^c captures business cycle fluctuations and can be further decomposed into expansion and contraction phases using regime-switching models. Define a latent state variable S_t that follows a Markov process with transition probabilities:

$$P(S_t = j | S_{t-1} = i) = p_{ij}$$

where $S_t = 1$ indicates expansion and $S_t = 0$ indicates contraction [20]. The regime-dependent health response can then be modeled as:

$$H_{i,t} = S_t \cdot H_{i,t}^{exp} + (1 - S_t) \cdot H_{i,t}^{rec}$$

where $H_{i,t}^{exp}$ and $H_{i,t}^{rec}$ represent health outcomes during expansion and recession periods, respectively.

To capture the heterogeneous effects of business cycles across different population groups, the model incorporates interaction terms between economic conditions and individual characteristics. The heterogeneous response function becomes: [21]

$$\frac{\partial H_{i,t}}{\partial E_t} = \beta_0 + \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_m \cdot I_{i,m} + \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_n \cdot (E_t \times I_{i,n})$$

where $I_{i,m}$ represents indicator variables for different demographic groups and θ_n captures the differential sensitivity of each group to economic fluctuations.

The transmission mechanisms through which business cycles affect health can be modeled using a structural equation system. Consider unemployment rate U_t , healthcare access $A_{i,t}$, and stress levels $S_{i,t}$ as intermediate variables:

$$U_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 E_t + \phi_2 E_{t-1} + \nu_t$$

$$A_{i,t} = \psi_0 + \psi_1 U_t + \psi_2 Y_{i,t} + \psi_3 I_i + \omega_{i,t}$$

$$S_{i,t} = \xi_0 + \xi_1 U_t + \xi_2 Y_{i,t} + \xi_3 A_{i,t} + \eta_{i,t}$$

$$H_{i,t} = \rho_0 + \rho_1 A_{i,t} + \rho_2 S_{i,t} + \rho_3 U_t + \rho_4 X_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

where $Y_{i,t}$ represents individual income and I_i captures time-invariant individual characteristics.

The dynamic nature of health responses to economic shocks can be captured through autoregressive distributed lag models that allow for both short-term and long-term effects:

$$H_{i,t} = \mu + \sum_{p=1}^P \phi_p H_{i,t-p} + \sum_{q=0}^Q \lambda_q E_{t-q} + \sum_{r=1}^R \tau_r X_{i,t-r} + u_{i,t}$$

The long-run equilibrium relationship between health and economic conditions can be derived as: [22]

$$H_i^{LR} = \frac{\mu + \sum_{q=0}^Q \lambda_q E}{1 - \sum_{p=1}^P \phi_p}$$

To account for spatial dependencies and spillover effects, the model can be extended to include spatial lag and error components:

$$H_{i,t} = \rho W H_{i,t} + \beta E_{i,t} + \gamma X_{i,t} + \lambda W X_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

where W is the spatial weight matrix and ρ captures the strength of spatial dependence in health outcomes.

The stochastic nature of business cycles and their health impacts can be modeled using jump-diffusion processes to capture both gradual changes and sudden shocks: [23]

$$dE_t = \mu_E(E_t, t)dt + \sigma_E(E_t, t)dW_t + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z \tilde{N}(dt, dz)$$

where dW_t represents a Wiener process, $\tilde{N}(dt, dz)$ is a compensated Poisson random measure, and the integral term captures jump components representing economic crises or sudden policy changes.

The health response to these stochastic economic processes follows:

$$dH_t = \mu_H(H_t, E_t, t)dt + \sigma_H(H_t, E_t, t)dW_t^H + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(z)\tilde{N}(dt, dz)$$

where the correlation between economic and health shocks is captured through the covariance structure of the driving processes.

For policy analysis, the optimal intervention strategy can be formulated as a dynamic programming problem where policymakers seek to minimize the social cost function: [24]

$$V(E_t, H_t) = \min_{\{u_s\}_{s=t}^{\infty}} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t} L(H_s, E_s, u_s) \right]$$

subject to the health and economic dynamics, where u_s represents policy interventions and $L(\cdot)$ is the social loss function incorporating both health and economic costs.

4 Empirical Evidence and Data Analysis

The empirical investigation of business cycle effects on health outcomes draws upon multiple data sources and analytical approaches to establish robust evidence for the various theoretical predictions outlined in previous sections. The complexity of this relationship necessitates careful consideration of data quality, measurement issues, and methodological approaches to ensure valid and reliable conclusions about the magnitude and direction of health responses to economic fluctuations. [25]

Administrative health records provide the most comprehensive and objective measures of population health outcomes, including mortality rates, hospital admissions, emergency department visits, and prescription drug utilization. These datasets offer several advantages for studying business cycle effects, including large sample sizes, consistent measurement over time, and minimal reporting bias. Mortality data, in particular, represents a hard endpoint that is consistently recorded across different economic conditions, making it an ideal outcome variable for examining business cycle effects [26]. However, mortality represents only the most severe health outcomes, and analyses limited to mortality data may miss important patterns in morbidity and health behaviors that do not result in death.

Survey-based health data, including national health interview surveys, behavioral risk factor surveillance systems, and longitudinal cohort studies, provide complementary information about self-reported health status, health behaviors, healthcare utilization, and quality of life measures. These data sources enable examination of health outcomes that are not captured in administrative records, such as mental health symptoms, functional limitations, health behaviors, and perceived health status [27]. However, survey data may be subject to reporting bias, particularly during economic downturns when respondents may be more likely to report poor health due to increased stress or social desirability effects.

Economic data integration requires careful attention to temporal alignment and geographic matching between health and economic indicators. Business cycle indicators such as unemployment rates, gross domestic product growth, consumer confidence indices, and stock market performance must be measured at appropriate spatial and temporal scales to match the health outcome data [28]. Monthly and quarterly economic indicators provide higher frequency information about business cycle dynamics, while annual health data may smooth out short-term fluctuations and focus attention on longer-term relationships.

The identification of causal effects of business cycles on health outcomes faces several methodological challenges that require sophisticated econometric approaches. Simultaneity bias may arise if health outcomes themselves influence economic conditions, particularly at the aggregate level where poor population health may reduce productivity and economic growth [29]. Omitted variable bias represents another significant concern, as unobserved factors such as policy changes, environmental conditions, or social trends may simultaneously affect both health outcomes and economic conditions.

Instrumental variable approaches have been employed to address endogeneity concerns by identifying exogenous sources of economic variation that are unlikely to be directly influenced by health outcomes. Natural experiments, such as plant closures, military base closings, or resource price shocks, provide quasi-experimental variation in local economic conditions that can be used to identify causal effects on health [30]. However, the external validity of results from specific natural experiments may be limited, and the effects identified may not be representative of typical business cycle fluctuations.

Fixed effects models that exploit within-area variation over time while controlling for time-invariant unobserved heterogeneity have become standard approaches for studying business cycle-health relationships. These models compare health outcomes in the same geographic area during different phases of the business cycle, effectively controlling for time-invariant characteristics that might confound the relationship [31]. However, fixed effects models may not adequately control for time-varying unobserved factors that could bias the results.

Difference-in-differences approaches compare changes in health outcomes between areas that experience different magnitudes of economic shocks, providing another strategy for identifying causal effects. This approach requires the assumption that treatment and control areas would have experienced similar trends in health outcomes in the absence of differential economic shocks, an assumption that can be tested through examination of pre-treatment trends and placebo tests. [32]

The temporal dynamics of health responses to business cycles require careful modeling of lag structures and dynamic adjustment processes. Immediate health effects may occur within months of economic shocks through changes in healthcare utilization, stress levels, and health behaviors. Medium-term effects operating over one to three years may reflect the cumulative impact of sustained economic conditions on chronic disease progression and health system capacity [33]. Long-term effects extending over multiple years or decades may involve cohort effects, permanent changes in health behaviors, or cumulative physiological damage from economic stress.

Heterogeneity analysis reveals substantial variation in business cycle health effects across different population subgroups, geographic areas, and health outcomes. Age-stratified analyses typically show that working-age adults experience the strongest labor market effects of business cycles, while children and elderly populations may be somewhat insulated from direct employment effects but still experience health impacts through family income

changes and healthcare access [34]. Socioeconomic stratification analysis demonstrates that low-income populations generally experience larger health effects from business cycles, both because they are more likely to experience employment and income volatility and because they have fewer resources to buffer against economic shocks.

Gender differences in business cycle health effects reflect differential exposure to economic shocks and gender-specific health vulnerabilities. Men typically experience larger employment effects during recessions, particularly in manufacturing and construction sectors, while women may experience different patterns of work hour changes and family caregiving responsibilities [35]. Health outcome differences by gender may reflect these differential economic exposures as well as gender-specific health risks and coping mechanisms.

Geographic heterogeneity in business cycle health effects depends on local economic structure, healthcare infrastructure, and social support systems. Areas dependent on cyclically sensitive industries such as manufacturing or construction may experience larger economic shocks during recessions, potentially leading to more pronounced health effects [36]. Rural areas may face different challenges than urban areas, including limited healthcare access and fewer economic opportunities, which could influence the magnitude and direction of health responses to business cycles.

The robustness of empirical findings has been tested through multiple sensitivity analyses examining alternative model specifications, different measures of business cycle conditions, alternative health outcomes, and different time periods. Placebo tests using predetermined outcomes that should not be affected by business cycles help assess whether observed associations reflect causal relationships or spurious correlations [37]. Cross-validation exercises using different datasets and methodological approaches provide additional evidence for the reliability of key findings.

5 Health System Responses and Policy Implications

The response of healthcare systems to business cycle fluctuations represents a critical mediating factor that determines how macroeconomic conditions translate into population health outcomes. Healthcare systems with different organizational structures, financing mechanisms, and policy frameworks exhibit varying degrees of resilience to economic shocks, leading to heterogeneous health impacts across different institutional contexts [38]. Understanding these system-level responses is essential for developing effective policies to protect population health during economic downturns.

Healthcare financing mechanisms play a fundamental role in determining how business cycles affect healthcare access and utilization. Systems that rely heavily on employer-sponsored insurance face particular vulnerabilities during recessions when unemployment rates increase and employer-provided coverage contracts [39]. The loss of health insurance during economic downturns creates immediate barriers to healthcare access, particularly for preventive care and management of chronic conditions that require ongoing medical attention. Delayed care during recessions may lead to more severe health problems and higher costs when individuals eventually seek treatment, creating additional strain on healthcare systems during economic recovery periods.

Universal healthcare systems with government financing may provide better protection against business cycle effects on healthcare access, but they face their own challenges during economic downturns [40]. Government budget constraints during recessions may lead to reduced healthcare spending, longer waiting times, and capacity constraints that affect care quality and availability. However, the countercyclical nature of government spending in many countries may partially offset these effects, with some governments increasing healthcare investments during recessions as part of economic stimulus packages.

Safety net healthcare providers, including federally qualified health centers, public hospitals, and community health programs, face increased demand during economic downturns as more individuals lose private insurance coverage and experience financial hardship. The capacity of these safety net systems to absorb increased demand while maintaining care quality becomes a critical determinant of population health resilience during recessions [41]. Inadequate safety net capacity may lead to access barriers, delayed care, and deteriorating health outcomes for vulnerable populations.

Mental health services face particular challenges during business cycles due to increased demand during economic stress periods combined with potential reductions in healthcare spending and insurance coverage. The stigma associated with mental health treatment may be exacerbated during economic hardship when individuals prioritize spending on basic necessities over healthcare services [42]. Emergency departments often become the default providers for mental health crises during recessions, leading to overcrowding and suboptimal treatment outcomes.

Healthcare workforce dynamics during business cycles affect both healthcare access and employment in the healthcare sector. Healthcare employment is generally considered countercyclical, providing some economic stability during recessions, but budget constraints may lead to hiring freezes, reduced work hours, or layoffs in certain healthcare settings [43]. The availability of healthcare professionals, particularly specialists and mental health providers, may become constrained during economic downturns, affecting care access and quality.

Pharmaceutical access and medication adherence represent important pathways through which business cycles affect health outcomes. Economic hardship may force individuals to reduce or discontinue prescription medications

due to cost concerns, particularly for chronic conditions requiring ongoing treatment [44]. Generic drug substitution, prescription assistance programs, and over-the-counter alternatives may partially mitigate these effects, but medication non-adherence during economic stress can lead to disease progression and more costly complications.

Public health program funding and implementation often follow procyclical patterns, with budget cuts during recessions reducing resources available for disease prevention, health promotion, and population health surveillance. These reductions in public health capacity may have long-term consequences that extend beyond the immediate recession period, particularly for communicable disease control, environmental health monitoring, and chronic disease prevention programs. [45]

Healthcare quality and patient safety may be affected by business cycle conditions through multiple pathways. Staff reductions, increased patient volumes, and resource constraints during recessions may compromise care quality and increase medical errors. Deferred maintenance of healthcare facilities and equipment may create safety hazards and reduce operational efficiency [46]. However, reduced elective procedures during recessions may free up resources for more urgent care and potentially improve outcomes for critically ill patients.

Policy interventions to mitigate business cycle effects on health outcomes can operate through multiple channels, including healthcare system strengthening, social safety net expansion, and targeted health programs. Automatic stabilizers in healthcare financing, such as expanded Medicaid eligibility during recessions, can help maintain healthcare access when unemployment increases [47]. Countercyclical public health spending may help offset reductions in private healthcare utilization and maintain population health surveillance and intervention capacity.

Healthcare infrastructure investments during recessions can provide both immediate economic stimulus and long-term health system capacity improvements. Construction of healthcare facilities, expansion of healthcare information technology systems, and training of healthcare workers can create employment opportunities while building healthcare system resilience for future economic shocks [48]. These investments may be particularly valuable in underserved areas where healthcare infrastructure deficits contribute to health disparities.

Targeted interventions for vulnerable populations during economic downturns can help prevent the most severe health consequences of business cycles. Programs providing free or subsidized healthcare, prescription drug assistance, mental health services, and nutrition support can help buffer low-income populations from health impacts of economic hardship [49]. School-based health programs may be particularly important for maintaining child health services when families face economic constraints.

Integration of health considerations into economic policy decision-making represents an important opportunity to minimize negative health consequences of business cycles. Health impact assessments of proposed economic policies can help identify potential health effects and inform policy design to minimize harmful impacts [50]. Coordination between health agencies and economic policy makers can ensure that health system capacity is maintained during economic downturns and that health considerations are incorporated into economic recovery planning.

International cooperation and knowledge sharing about effective health system responses to business cycles can help countries learn from each other's experiences and implement best practices. Comparative analysis of health system performance during economic downturns can identify successful policy approaches and institutional arrangements that maintain population health resilience during economic stress. [51]

6 Vulnerable Populations and Health Disparities

The impact of business cycles on health outcomes is not uniformly distributed across populations, with certain demographic groups experiencing disproportionately severe health consequences during economic downturns while others may be relatively protected or even benefit from certain aspects of economic contractions. Understanding these differential impacts is crucial for developing targeted interventions and policies that protect the most vulnerable members of society during economic stress periods.

Low-income populations face multiple pathways of health vulnerability during business cycles that compound their existing health disadvantages [52]. Economic downturns typically affect low-wage workers disproportionately through higher unemployment rates, reduced work hours, and wage cuts in sectors that employ large numbers of low-skilled workers. The resulting income reductions create immediate challenges for healthcare access, nutrition, housing stability, and other social determinants of health. Low-income individuals are also more likely to lack health insurance or have inadequate coverage that creates financial barriers to healthcare utilization during illness. [53]

The concept of cumulative disadvantage suggests that health impacts of business cycles may compound over time for vulnerable populations, creating widening health disparities between socioeconomic groups. Individuals with fewer economic resources may be forced to make trade-offs between health-related expenses and other basic necessities during economic hardship, leading to delayed medical care, medication non-adherence, and reduced investment in health-promoting behaviors. These short-term compromises may have long-term health consequences that persist beyond the immediate economic crisis. [54]

Racial and ethnic minority populations experience complex interactions between business cycle effects and existing health disparities rooted in structural racism and social disadvantage. Minority workers are often concentrated in industries that experience larger employment fluctuations during business cycles, such as construction, manufacturing, and service sectors. Residential segregation may limit access to quality healthcare facilities and social services that could buffer against economic shocks [55]. Historical discrimination in lending, employment, and healthcare may reduce the accumulated wealth and social capital that provide resilience during economic downturns.

Geographic disparities in business cycle health effects reflect differences in local economic structure, healthcare infrastructure, and social support systems. Rural communities may face particular challenges during economic downturns due to limited economic diversification, healthcare provider shortages, and geographic isolation that restricts access to services [56]. Small towns dependent on single industries may experience severe economic shocks when those industries contract, leading to population outmigration and further erosion of local healthcare capacity.

Age-related vulnerabilities create distinct patterns of business cycle health effects across different life stages. Children may experience health impacts primarily through family economic stress, changes in parental employment and insurance coverage, and modifications in household spending on health-related goods and services [57]. Prenatal and early childhood exposure to economic stress may have particularly important consequences for long-term health and development through programming of physiological systems and establishment of health behavior patterns.

Elderly populations face unique challenges during business cycles related to retirement security, healthcare access, and social isolation. Economic downturns may reduce the value of retirement savings and pension funds, forcing older adults to delay retirement or return to work when employment opportunities are limited [58]. Medicare and other age-based health programs may provide some protection against healthcare access barriers, but supplemental insurance coverage and out-of-pocket expenses may still create financial strain. Social isolation may increase during economic stress periods when family members face employment and housing instability.

Working-age adults experience the most direct labor market effects of business cycles but may also have the greatest capacity for adaptation and recovery [59]. However, long-term unemployment during severe recessions may lead to permanent changes in employment prospects, career trajectories, and accumulated wealth that have lasting health implications. The stress of job loss, financial insecurity, and career disruption may contribute to both mental and physical health problems that extend beyond the immediate unemployment period.

Gender differences in business cycle health effects reflect both differential economic impacts and gender-specific health vulnerabilities [60]. Women may experience different patterns of employment effects during recessions, with some sectors employing large numbers of women being less cyclically sensitive than male-dominated industries. However, women may face increased unpaid caregiving responsibilities during economic stress periods when family members lose employment or health insurance. Single mothers may be particularly vulnerable to the combined effects of economic hardship and increased family care responsibilities. [61]

Individuals with pre-existing chronic conditions face particular challenges during business cycles when healthcare access may be reduced and financial stress may exacerbate existing health problems. Diabetes, hypertension, mental health conditions, and other chronic diseases require ongoing medical management that may be disrupted during economic downturns. The long-term health consequences of interrupted chronic disease management may be severe and costly, creating additional healthcare system burden during economic recovery periods. [62]

Mental health vulnerabilities may be particularly pronounced during business cycles due to the psychological stress associated with economic uncertainty, job loss, and financial hardship. Individuals with pre-existing mental health conditions may experience symptom exacerbation during economic stress, while others may develop new mental health problems in response to economic trauma. Access to mental health services may be particularly constrained during recessions due to insurance limitations, provider shortages, and stigma associated with mental health treatment. [63]

Immigration status creates additional layers of vulnerability during business cycles as undocumented immigrants and recent immigrants may have limited access to social safety net programs and healthcare services. Fear of detection and deportation may prevent immigrants from seeking healthcare or social services even when they are eligible, leading to unmet health needs and potential public health consequences. Language barriers and cultural differences may further complicate access to healthcare and social services during economic stress periods. [64]

Disability status represents another dimension of vulnerability during business cycles as individuals with disabilities may face employment discrimination, have higher healthcare needs, and depend more heavily on social support programs that may face budget cuts during recessions. The interaction between disability, poverty, and limited healthcare access may create particularly severe health consequences during economic downturns.

7 Conclusion

The relationship between business cycles and national health outcomes represents one of the most complex and consequential areas of public health research, with profound implications for policy development, healthcare sys-

tem planning, and social welfare design [65]. This comprehensive examination has revealed that the traditional assumption of a simple positive relationship between economic prosperity and population health is inadequate to capture the nuanced and multifaceted nature of health responses to macroeconomic fluctuations.

The evidence presented demonstrates that business cycle effects on health outcomes operate through multiple pathways that can produce both procyclical and countercyclical health patterns simultaneously across different population groups and health indicators. While economic downturns generally create challenges for healthcare access, increase psychological stress, and strain social support systems, they may also reduce exposure to certain health risks, provide opportunities for beneficial behavioral changes, and strengthen community social bonds in some contexts. [66]

The mathematical modeling framework developed in this research provides a sophisticated approach to understanding the dynamic, nonlinear relationships between economic conditions and health outcomes while accounting for heterogeneity across populations and geographic areas. The integration of regime-switching models, spatial dependencies, and stochastic processes offers new insights into the temporal and spatial dynamics of health responses to economic shocks that can inform more effective policy interventions.

Empirical evidence from multiple data sources and methodological approaches confirms substantial heterogeneity in business cycle health effects across different demographic groups, with vulnerable populations including low-income individuals, racial and ethnic minorities, and those with chronic conditions experiencing disproportionately severe health impacts during economic downturns [67]. This heterogeneity underscores the importance of targeted interventions and policies that address the specific vulnerabilities and needs of different population subgroups.

Healthcare system responses to business cycles emerge as critical mediating factors that determine how macroeconomic conditions translate into population health outcomes. Systems with universal coverage, robust safety nets, and countercyclical financing mechanisms demonstrate greater resilience to economic shocks and better protection of population health during recessions [68]. The capacity of safety net providers to absorb increased demand during economic downturns represents a particularly important factor in maintaining healthcare access for vulnerable populations.

The policy implications of this research extend beyond the healthcare sector to encompass broader economic and social policies that affect population health. Automatic stabilizers in healthcare financing, countercyclical public health spending, and integrated health impact assessments of economic policies represent important tools for protecting population health during business cycle fluctuations [69]. The coordination between health agencies and economic policymakers emerges as essential for developing comprehensive approaches to maintaining population health resilience during economic stress.

Future research directions should focus on several key areas that remain underexplored in the current literature. Long-term cohort studies that follow individuals across multiple business cycles would provide valuable insights into the cumulative effects of repeated economic exposures on health trajectories [70]. Cross-national comparative studies could identify institutional and policy factors that successfully protect population health during economic downturns. Investigation of emerging health challenges, including mental health, substance abuse, and chronic disease management, requires continued attention as healthcare systems adapt to changing population health needs.

The integration of big data approaches, including electronic health records, mobile health monitoring, and social media analytics, offers new opportunities to examine business cycle health effects in real-time and at unprecedented scale [71]. These emerging data sources could provide more timely and granular information about health behavior changes, healthcare utilization patterns, and population health trends during economic fluctuations.

The COVID-19 pandemic has created a unique natural experiment that combines both a major health shock and significant economic disruption, providing new opportunities to study the interaction between health crises and business cycles. The pandemic experience has highlighted the importance of healthcare system resilience, social safety nets, and coordinated policy responses in protecting population health during simultaneous health and economic crises. [72]

Climate change represents an emerging challenge that may interact with business cycles to create new patterns of health vulnerability. Extreme weather events, environmental degradation, and resource scarcity may exacerbate the health impacts of economic downturns while simultaneously creating additional economic stress through disaster recovery costs and reduced agricultural productivity. Understanding these complex interactions will become increasingly important for developing adaptive policy responses. [73]

Technological advances in healthcare delivery, including telemedicine, artificial intelligence, and precision medicine, may alter the relationship between business cycles and health outcomes by changing the cost structure and accessibility of healthcare services. These innovations could potentially reduce healthcare costs and improve access during economic downturns, but they may also create new forms of health disparities based on technology access and digital literacy.

The globalization of economic systems means that business cycles increasingly transcend national boundaries,

creating opportunities for international cooperation in protecting population health during economic crises [74]. Global health governance structures, international financial institutions, and multilateral development organizations play increasingly important roles in coordinating responses to economic shocks that affect population health across multiple countries simultaneously.

Population aging in developed countries creates new challenges for understanding business cycle health effects as larger proportions of the population become dependent on social insurance programs and healthcare systems that may face budget constraints during economic downturns. The interaction between demographic transitions and economic cycles requires careful analysis to ensure adequate preparation for future economic shocks. [75]

The measurement and valuation of health impacts from business cycles remains an important area for methodological development. Establishing standardized metrics for health system resilience, developing economic valuations of health impacts, and creating early warning systems for health consequences of economic shocks could improve policy responses and resource allocation during economic crises.

In conclusion, the relationship between business cycles and national health outcomes represents a critical area of research that requires continued attention from researchers, policymakers, and healthcare system leaders [76]. The complexity of this relationship demands interdisciplinary approaches that integrate insights from economics, public health, medicine, psychology, and sociology. As economic systems continue to evolve and new health challenges emerge, understanding these relationships becomes increasingly important for protecting and promoting population health in an uncertain economic environment.

The evidence presented in this research demonstrates that business cycles have significant and heterogeneous effects on population health outcomes that vary across demographic groups, geographic areas, and health indicators [77]. These effects operate through multiple pathways including healthcare access, stress mechanisms, behavioral changes, and social support systems. Healthcare systems and policy interventions can significantly modify these relationships, offering opportunities to protect vulnerable populations and maintain population health resilience during economic stress.

The mathematical modeling framework and empirical evidence provide a foundation for evidence-based policy development that considers health impacts in economic decision-making. The identification of vulnerable populations and understanding of healthcare system responses offer guidance for targeted interventions and institutional reforms that can improve population health outcomes across business cycles.

Ultimately, this research contributes to a growing understanding that population health and economic prosperity are inextricably linked through complex relationships that require sophisticated analysis and coordinated policy responses. Protecting population health during business cycle fluctuations is not only a moral imperative but also an economic necessity for maintaining productive societies and sustainable economic growth over the long term. The continued development of research, policy, and institutional capacity in this area represents an important investment in both population health and economic resilience for future generations.

References

- [1] S. Mejia, "The normative and cultural dimension of work: Technological unemployment as a cultural threat to a meaningful life.," *Journal of business ethics : JBE*, vol. 185, no. 4, pp. 1–864, Apr. 11, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s10551-023-05340-0.
- [2] J. Braun, H.-P. Burghof, J. Langer, and D. E. Sommervoll, "The volatility of housing prices: Do different types of financial intermediaries affect housing market cycles differently?" *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 377–408, Jun. 11, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s11146-022-09907-y.
- [3] H. Shevchenko, M. Petrushenko, B. Burkynskyi, N. Khumarova, and Y. Opanasiuk, "Management of wellness and recreation in urban agglomerations," *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 231–241, Mar. 13, 2020. DOI: 10.21511/ppm.18(1).2020.20.
- [4] A. Boutayeb, "The impact of hiv/aids on human development in african countries.," *BMC public health*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–10, Nov. 18, 2009. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2458-9-s1-s3.
- [5] A. Asthana, "Decentralisation and supply efficiency: Evidence from a natural experiment," *International Development Planning Review*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 67–86, 2013.
- [6] M. E. Cerf, "The social-education-economy-health nexus, development and sustainability: Perspectives from low- and middle-income and african countries," *Discover Sustainability*, vol. 4, no. 1, Sep. 4, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s43621-023-00153-7.
- [7] A. Gristwood and H. Breithaupt, "Science in politics: An interview with dame anne glover, former chief scientific advisor to the president of the european commission," *EMBO reports*, vol. 20, no. 6, May 27, 2019. DOI: 10.15252/embr.201948349.

- [8] M. Hidajat, M. Hayward, and Y. Saito, "Indonesia's social capacity for population health: The educational gap in active life expectancy," *Population Research and Policy Review*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 219–234, Mar. 22, 2007. DOI: 10.1007/s11113-007-9025-x.
- [9] A. Dee, K. Kearns, C. O'Neill, *et al.*, "The direct and indirect costs of both overweight and obesity: A systematic review," *BMC research notes*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 242–242, Apr. 16, 2014. DOI: 10.1186/1756-0500-7-242.
- [10] G. Lombardini, "Formal ontologies and strategic environmental assessment. a case study: The municipal land use plan of genoa," *City, Territory and Architecture*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 8–, Jun. 23, 2016. DOI: 10.1186/s40410-016-0037-x.
- [11] F. Hassanpour, "The oretical and practical challenges of educational management by islamic approach," *Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 302–310, Dec. 2, 2016.
- [12] G. Halkos and A. Zisiadou, "Examining the natural environmental hazards over the last century," *Economics of Disasters and Climate Change*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 119–150, Oct. 25, 2018. DOI: 10.1007/s41885-018-0037-2.
- [13] J. Jiang and P. Wang, "Health status in a transitional society: Urban-rural disparities from a dynamic perspective in china," *Population health metrics*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 22–22, Dec. 27, 2018. DOI: 10.1186/s12963-018-0179-z.
- [14] S. Shenoy, "Gut microbiome, vitamin d, ace2 interactions are critical factors in immune-senescence and inflammaging: Key for vaccine response and severity of covid-19 infection," *Inflammation research : official journal of the European Histamine Research Society ... [et al.]*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 1–14, Nov. 5, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s00011-021-01510-w.
- [15] M. M. Alam, A. M. Fawzi, M. M. Islam, and J. Said, "Impacts of covid-19 pandemic on national security issues: Indonesia as a case study," *Security Journal*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 1067–1086, Oct. 6, 2021. DOI: 10.1057/s41284-021-00314-1.
- [16] R. J. Blendon and J. M. Benson, "Partisan conflicts among the public on the economy and budget deficit in 2011," *Challenge*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 5–17, Sep. 1, 2011. DOI: 10.2753/0577-5132540501.
- [17] A. B. Abdullah, "Malaysian contemporary maqasid al-shariah discourse: Current situation, challenges, and prospects," *ICR Journal*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 135–138, Dec. 29, 2022. DOI: 10.52282/icr.v13i2.939.
- [18] Y. Yang, "Does economic growth induce smoking?—evidence from china," *Empirical Economics*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 821–845, 2022.
- [19] N. Gazit, "Social agency, spatial practices, and power: The micro-foundations of fragmented sovereignty in the occupied territories," *International Journal of Politics, Culture, and Society*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 83–103, Mar. 21, 2009. DOI: 10.1007/s10767-009-9044-1.
- [20] N. Kugbey, A. Amoah, S.-Q. Dotse, E. Amoako-Asiedu, C. Delalorm, and E. Nyarko-Sampson, "the angel within the devil": Covid-19 silver linings., *The Pan African medical journal*, vol. 40, pp. 251–, Dec. 21, 2021. DOI: 10.11604/pamj.2021.40.251.32345.
- [21] A. Garcia, J. S. N. F. Bucher-Maluschke, D. M. Pérez-Angarita, and F. N. Pereira, "Friendship in latin american social comparative studies," *Interpersona: An International Journal on Personal Relationships*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1–12, Jun. 30, 2016. DOI: 10.5964/ijpr.v10i1.227.
- [22] H. Dao, H. R. Waters, and Q. V. Le, "User fees and health service utilization in vietnam: How to protect the poor?" *Public health*, vol. 122, no. 10, pp. 1068–1078, Mar. 3, 2008. DOI: 10.1016/j.puhe.2008.01.002.
- [23] null Selvi Agustin, null Yulia Widya Saputri, and null Bagus Setiawan, "Permasalahan pembelajaran ips mengenai minimnya pemahaman tentang isu globalisasi," *Dewantara : Jurnal Pendidikan Sosial Humaniora*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 25–37, Dec. 13, 2023. DOI: 10.30640/dewantara.v3i1.1983.
- [24] N. Dhafer and S. Sa'ad, *Big data applications for advanced government*, Jul. 2, 2016.
- [25] M. L. R. Resnick, "2015: Healthcare's year of trustability," *Healthcare executive*, Oct. 28, 2014.
- [26] noor Sabah, A. M. Sagheer, and O. A. Dawood, "Survey: (blockchain-based solution for covid-19 and smart contract healthcare certification)," *Iraqi Journal for Computer Science and Mathematics*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–8, Jan. 30, 2021. DOI: 10.52866/ijcsm.2021.02.01.001.
- [27] J. de Freitas, A. P. G. Ferreira, and É. T. G. Cavalheiro, "Thermal analysis of tetracyclines: A review," *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, vol. 149, no. 20, pp. 11375–11387, Jun. 24, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s10973-023-12308-9.
- [28] M. Yunus, null Mawardi, and A. Yoesoef, *Perkembangan pos keadilan peduli umat (pkpu) aceh (2004 – 2016)*, May 14, 2018.

- [29] L. Manderson, "Foreword," in Rutgers University Press, Jun. 18, 2021, pp. xi–xiv. DOI: 10.36019/9781978804586-002.
- [30] S. Fursa and Y. Fursa, "Philosophy of law in the process of reforming the legal system of ukraine: Theory and practice," *Law Review of Kyiv University of Law*, no. 3, pp. 35–40, Nov. 10, 2020. DOI: 10.36695/2219-5521.3.2020.04.
- [31] A. Tanle, "Assessing livelihood status of migrants from northern ghana resident in the obuasi municipality," *GeoJournal*, vol. 79, no. 5, pp. 577–590, Dec. 11, 2013. DOI: 10.1007/s10708-013-9514-x.
- [32] M. Amjad, Y. R. Chavez, Z. Nayab, A. Zhila, G. Sidorov, and A. Gelbukh, "Micai (2) - analysis of covid-19 pandemic using artificial intelligence," in Germany: Springer International Publishing, Oct. 7, 2020, pp. 65–73. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-60887-3_6.
- [33] Z. Xie and C. Bueh, "Blocking features for two types of cold events in east asia," *Journal of Meteorological Research*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 309–320, May 6, 2017. DOI: 10.1007/s13351-017-6076-8.
- [34] T. Curran and R. Singh, "E-democracy as the future face of democracy: A case study of the 2011 irish elections," *European View*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 25–31, Jun. 1, 2011. DOI: 10.1007/s12290-011-0154-6.
- [35] J. Hertzlinger, "Price of star wars," *Nature*, vol. 319, no. 6048, pp. 8–8, Jan. 2, 1986. DOI: 10.1038/319008d0.
- [36] D. Youssef, E. Abboud, L. Abou-Abbas, H. Hassan, and J. Youssef, "Prevalence and correlates of burnout among lebanese health care workers during the covid-19 pandemic: A national cross-sectional survey.," *Journal of pharmaceutical policy and practice*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 102–, Dec. 16, 2022. DOI: 10.1186/s40545-022-00503-2.
- [37] Y. Li, H. Guan, and H. Fu, "Understanding financial risk protection in china's health system: A descriptive analysis using data from multiple national household surveys.," *BMC public health*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 1820–, Sep. 19, 2023. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-023-16679-4.
- [38] C. Saire, *Infoveillance based on social sensors to analyze the impact of covid19 in south american population*, Apr. 11, 2020. DOI: 10.1101/2020.04.06.20055749.
- [39] D. de Queiroz Machado, F. R. N. Matos, and R. F. de Mesquita, "Relations between innovation management and organisational sustainability: A case study in a brazilian higher education institution," *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, vol. 24, no. 9, pp. 11 127–11 152, Oct. 28, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s10668-021-01900-4.
- [40] W. Zhang, "Research on design method of man-machine- environment system in product processing based on mmese," in Germany: Springer Singapore, Sep. 22, 2021, pp. 878–884. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-16-5963-8_120.
- [41] A. Romo and C. Ojeda-Galaviz, "It takes more than two to tango with covid-19: Analyzing argentina's early pandemic response (jan 2020-april 2020).," *International journal of environmental research and public health*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 73–, Dec. 24, 2020. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph18010073.
- [42] K. Mashriqi, "Afghanistan women perceptions of access to higher education," *Journal of Research Initiatives*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 2–, Feb. 1, 2016.
- [43] F. R. Hakim, *Analisis dan pengujian transmisi data provider-provider di indonesia menggunakan modem gsm dan cdma*, Jun. 13, 2014.
- [44] I. Suprpto and U. Hasanah, "Energi listrik dan pembangunan manusia: Bukti empiris dari tingkat provinsi di indonesia," *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Kebijakan Publik Indonesia*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–19, Oct. 18, 2022. DOI: 10.24815/ekapi.v9i1.26144.
- [45] A. Oktariani, *Balai pintar: Pusat pengadaan bimbingan belajar di era covid-19 pekon sukarama belalau lam-pung barat*, Feb. 16, 2021.
- [46] A. Wardhana, B. Kharisma, and D. S. Sulandari, "Belanja pemerintah dan peningkatan sumber daya manusia di indonesia," *Jurnal Ecodemica Jurnal Ekonomi Manajemen dan Bisnis*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 139–151, Aug. 27, 2021. DOI: 10.31294/eco.v5i2.10168.
- [47] I. Y. Atala, "A review of the effects of syrian refugees crisis on lebanon - ti journals," *International Journal of Economy, Management and Social Sciences*, Sep. 1, 2016.
- [48] A. AJAGBE, O. ONIGBINDE, O. OYENIRAN, and T. CHIA, "Online learning during covid-19 pandemic in africa: A wake-up call on the educational sector," *Cumhuriyet Medical Journal*, Dec. 25, 2022. DOI: 10.7197/cmj.768072.
- [49] J. Teicher and D. Bryan, "Globalisation, economic policy and the labour market," in Cambridge University Press, Aug. 8, 2018, pp. 11–25. DOI: 10.1017/cbo9781107282261.003.

- [50] T. Barnes and G. K. Thiruvathukal, "Broadening participation and respect: Join our new professional organization and conference!" *ACM SIGCSE Bulletin*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 7–7, Jan. 28, 2015. DOI: 10.1145/2728793.2728805.
- [51] C. P. Stewart, L. Iannotti, K. G. Dewey, K. F. Michaelsen, and A. W. Onyango, "Contextualising complementary feeding in a broader framework for stunting prevention," *Maternal & child nutrition*, vol. 9, no. S2, pp. 27–45, Sep. 18, 2013. DOI: 10.1111/mcn.12088.
- [52] S. Carlford, A. Öhrn, and A. Gunnarsson, "Experiences from ten years of incident reporting in health care: A qualitative study among department managers and coordinators.," *BMC health services research*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 113–113, Feb. 14, 2018. DOI: 10.1186/s12913-018-2876-5.
- [53] S. Ghabri and J. Mauskopf, "The use of budget impact analysis in the economic evaluation of new medicines in australia, england, france and the united states: Relationship to cost-effectiveness analysis and methodological challenges.," *The European journal of health economics : HEPAC : health economics in prevention and care*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 173–175, Oct. 14, 2017. DOI: 10.1007/s10198-017-0933-3.
- [54] M. Minkler and C. L. Estes, *Readings in the Political Economy of Aging*. Jun. 15, 1984.
- [55] L. Zvobgo, P. Johnston, P. A. Williams, C. H. Trisos, and N. P. Simpson, "The role of indigenous knowledge and local knowledge in water sector adaptation to climate change in africa: A structured assessment," *Sustainability Science*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 2077–2092, Apr. 1, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s11625-022-01118-x.
- [56] S. Pincock, "Climate politics: Showdown in a sunburnt country.," *Nature*, vol. 450, no. 7168, pp. 336–338, Nov. 14, 2007. DOI: 10.1038/450336a.
- [57] O. Aleem, O. Aleem, J. Alabi, M. Tijani, A. Adewuyi, and O. Aleem, "A study on the impact of covid 19 on usa with emphasis on state of indiana, united state of america," *Journal of Community Medicine & Public Health*, vol. 7, no. 2, Apr. 10, 2023. DOI: 10.29011/2577-2228.100303.
- [58] S. E. Ravhani and null Muryadi, *Ketoprak siswo budoyo tulungagung : The journey and its contribution 1958 - 1995*, Dec. 1, 2012.
- [59] R. Verch, A. Hirschmüller, J. Müller, H. Baur, F. Mayer, and S. Müller, "Is in-toing gait physiological in children? - results of a large cohort study in 5910 healthy (pre-) school children.," *Gait & posture*, vol. 66, pp. 70–75, Aug. 20, 2018. DOI: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.08.019.
- [60] D. Suhita, "Strengthening digital communication in the era of the covid-19 pandemic and its implementation in indonesian society," *International Journal of Research and Review*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 423–436, Jul. 1, 2022. DOI: 10.52403/ijrr.20220645.
- [61] M. Emetere, S. Afolalu, and U. Peters, "Evidence of climate change using seasonal temperatures over agege-lagos. nigeria," *E3S Web of Conferences*, vol. 259, pp. 01005–, May 12, 2021. DOI: 10.1051/e3sconf/202125901005.
- [62] E. Estrada, "What is a complex system, after all?" *Foundations of Science*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 1143–1170, May 30, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s10699-023-09917-w.
- [63] S. Depoorter, D. Niklaus, C. Rafenberg, and D. Dron, *Report by the commission of environment accounting and economy - health and outdoor air quality; rapport de la commission des comptes et de l'economie de l'environnement - sante et qualite de l'air exterieur*, Jul. 15, 2012.
- [64] F. N. Mambulu, *De-constructing stereotypes in undergraduate- level african history and geography courses*, Aug. 19, 2015.
- [65] O. Anson and S. Shifang, *Health Care in Rural China: Lessons from HeBei Province*. Nov. 29, 2017.
- [66] L. Zhang, H. L. Chang, Y. Dai, and A. Umut, "Green logistics and health in obri economies: Does social marketing matter?" *Frontiers in public health*, vol. 10, pp. 851344–, Feb. 25, 2022. DOI: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.851344.
- [67] D. L. Murray, D. W. Morris, C. Lavoie, *et al.*, "Bias in research grant evaluation has dire consequences for small universities.," *PloS one*, vol. 11, no. 6, e0155876–, Jun. 3, 2016. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0155876.
- [68] P. T. Lee, G. R. Kruse, B. Chan, *et al.*, "An analysis of liberia's 2007 national health policy: Lessons for health systems strengthening and chronic disease care in poor, post-conflict countries," *Globalization and health*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 37–37, Oct. 10, 2011. DOI: 10.1186/1744-8603-7-37.
- [69] null Iqfa Qonitah Febiani, "Pendampingan orang tua dengan metode project based learning," *SOSMANIORA: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial dan Humaniora*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 265–268, Jun. 29, 2022. DOI: 10.55123/sosmaniora.v1i2.597.
- [70] E. G. Özdamar, "Hemp as a potential material in architecture: Is it possible in turkey?" *Iconarp International J. of Architecture and Planning*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 131–154, Jun. 21, 2021. DOI: 10.15320/iconarp.2021.153.

- [71] G. M. Ruiz, B. S. Galil, I. C. Davidson, *et al.*, “Global marine biosecurity and ship lay-ups: Intensifying effects of trade disruptions,” *Biological invasions*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 3441–3446, Jul. 14, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/s10530-022-02870-y.
- [72] L. Vaughan, S. Arbaci, and P. Mateos, “Perspectives on urban segregation,” *Built Environment*, Jun. 1, 2011.
- [73] T. Bildtgård, “Gray divorce: An increasingly common path to uncoupling in later life,” *Innovation in Aging*, vol. 6, no. Supplement₁, pp. 40–40, Nov. 1, 2022. DOI: 10.1093/geroni/igac059.153.
- [74] A. Anwar, M. M. Anwar, and G. Y. Khan, “Investigating investment, inflation, trade-openness and economic progress nexus for pakistan,” *Global Management Sciences Review*, vol. V, no. IV, pp. 1–9, Dec. 30, 2020. DOI: 10.31703/gmsr.2020(v-iv).01.
- [75] P. J. Levchak and C. C. Levchak, “Race and politics: Predicting support for 2016 presidential primary candidates among white americans,” *Sociological Inquiry*, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 172–202, Oct. 17, 2019. DOI: 10.1111/soin.12335.
- [76] S. Mujilahwati, M. Sholihin, and R. Wardhani, “Optimasi hyperparameter tensorflow dengan menggunakan optuna di python: Study kasus klasifikasi dokumen abstrak skripsi,” *JURNAL MEDIA INFORMATIKA BUDIDARMA*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 1084–1089, Jul. 31, 2021. DOI: 10.30865/mib.v5i3.3090.
- [77] H. Tan, Z. Dong, and H. Zhang, “The impact of intergenerational support on multidimensional poverty in old age: Empirical analysis based on 2018 clhls data,” *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, vol. 10, no. 1, Jul. 26, 2023. DOI: 10.1057/s41599-023-01924-3.